

**GUIDELINES FOR FACULTY PEER EVALUATION**

FACULTY: \_\_\_\_\_

FACULTY OBSERVER: \_\_\_\_\_

DATE: \_\_\_\_\_

LENGTH OF OBSERVATION: \_\_\_\_\_

## **I. KNOWLEDGE OF SUBJECT MATTER (CLASS CONTENT):**

*Possible areas to be considered.*

- Illustrated command of subject matter.
- Used material that was important and current for the discipline.
- Cited appropriate data, information, and research findings.
- Taught problem solving skills where appropriate.
- Emphasized important issues or concepts relative to course objectives.
- Content of subject matter was well established.

## **II. ORGANIZATION OF COURSE:**

*Possible areas to be considered.*

- The goals, requirements and grading policy of the course were clear.
- A course syllabus was distributed at the start of the semester.
- The class readings and assignments generally corresponded to the course outline.
- How has technology been incorporated into the course?





**V. OVERALL CONCLUSIONS:**

*(The evaluator should summarize the strengths of the candidate, areas that require improvement and any other general conclusions.)*

Observer Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Faculty Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_